

CHIPPENHAM COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP ACTION TRACKER/ NOTES LOG

22nd January 2019

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
1.	Attendees, Apologies & Introductions				
	<p>Present</p> <p>Apologies</p> <p>Observers</p>	<p>Councillor Maurice Dixon (MD) (CATG Chairman) Councillor Ross Henning (RH) Councillor John Scragg (JS) Councillor Lesley Palmer (LP) Councillor Angela Williams (AW) Martin Rose (MR) Victoria Welsh (VW)</p> <p>Councillor Ashley O’Neill (AO) Councillor Bill Douglas (BD) Adrian Jones (AJ) Diane Ware (DW) Spencer Drinkwater (SD) Chris Clark (CC) David Arnup (DA)</p> <p>Councillor David Mannering Langley Burrell Parish Council (DM)</p>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
2.	Notes of the last meeting (18th September 2018)				
		The recommendations contained in the Note Tracker from the Chippenham CATG meeting held on 18-Sep-18 were agreed at the Chippenham Area Board meeting on 8-Oct-18.			
3.	Finance				
		<p>Financial position at 14th January 2019</p> <p>2018-19 allocation = £18,087.00</p> <p>2017-18 underspend = £7,626.16</p> <p>2018 -19 3rd party Contributions £9,711.37</p> <p>Total Budget for 2018-19 = £35,549.52</p> <p>Existing commitments = £30,315.46</p> <p>Current Balance = £5,234.06</p> <p><i>See Appendix 1</i></p>	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the financial position		MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
4.	Annual Dropped Kerbs Exercise				
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dropped kerbs requests are considered once a year in June to maximise the budget • Dropped Kerb requests must demonstrate benefit to community, requests from individuals will not be considered • Town/Parish Councils to contribute 40% of the cost in their area. Indication of costs: A Guide to the Cost of Highways Works • CATG feel that new developments should include dropped kerbs to avoid remedial work at a later date • CATG agreed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Proposed budget of £4,500 for dropped kerbs in 2018/19 ○ Level of contribution from Town/Parish to increase to 40% • 18-Sep-18 CATG selected dropped kerbs that are a priority for the community area. See item 7.9 • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendations. • 22-Jan-19 - Awaiting confirmation of 2019/20 budget. MR to coordinate the dropped kerbs exercise and prepare list for consideration at the next CATG meeting 	<p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the</p>		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
5.	Freight Assessment and Priority Mechanism (FAPM) exercise				
	Standing item for update	<p>Sites for 2017/18 agreed by Chippenham Area Board 13th March 2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Malmesbury Road, Chippenham • Hill Corner Road, Chippenham • SD advised that neither of the sites submitted by Chippenham would be taken forward as there were other higher priorities within the county. • 19-Mar-18 Chippenham Area Board noted the update • SD advised 100 requests on the list across the county and Wiltshire Council has a budget to address two per year. Criteria include characteristics of road, volume of traffic, proximity roads services, accidents related to HGVs • Hill Corner Road traffic situation due to development is a separate issue. • Sites for 2018/19 - SD advised that 2018/19 FAPM exercise will take place in autumn ready for next year. • Chippenham CATG will need to agree top two submissions for 2018/19 at the next CATG meeting • 23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 18-Sep-18 SD not available to attend. This item postponed until next CATG meeting. • 22-Jan-19 SD not available to attend. SD has advised that the Director and Cabinet for Highways are currently reviewing the FAPM process. 	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update		SD

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
6.	Major Maintenance in 2019/20				
		<p>Sites for 2017/18 - agreed by Chippenham Area Board 13th March 2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ford to Slaughterford • Leigh Deli South • Ladyfield Rd • Foghamshire • C173 Nettleton • Derby Close • Grittleton Dead Hill - completed • Ham Lane Biddestone • Cuttle Lane to Giddea Hall • Ashes Lane, Kington Langley • Days Lane A350 • Brook St • Thornhill Lane, Langley Burrell • Charter Road, Chippenham <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DW confirmed that: • The March 2017 list (above) was on a reserve list with the consultant Atkins and is currently being assessed into a priority order. • The list will be a 5-year programme, I am moving away from the allocated spend per year for each area as some works required are in excess of those budgets, therefore it may be that the spend in each board will vary depending on need. • All surface dressing jobs planned for 2018/19 have been completed • Sites for 2019/20 - Major maintenance costing approximately £700,000 is likely commence in 2019 the list of proposed sites includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A4 Bath Road • Sadlers Mead Chippenham • High Street Chippenham 	<p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update</p>		<p>DW</p>

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The schedule for major maintenance is based on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Technical surveys/condition assessment • Usage • My Wiltshire reports of potholes etc from members of the public • Prioritised by CATG and Area Board • A list of the final sites verified and costed by the consultants Atkins will be sent to CATGs in November 2018. • Chippenham CATG to consider list from DW and identify top 10 priorities at next CATG meeting • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update. • 22-Jan-19 The following update was provided DW who was unable to attend the meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ We will be sending the 5-year plan to all CATG's ○ I am yet to include all your sites but have included most so far, once we have a better idea of costings for the schemes we will insert them <i>See Appendix 2</i> ○ If Chippenham CATG has further sites for years 3 and beyond, please let me know and we can assess them with the few remaining others ○ We will be undertaking patching to the year 2 surface dressing sites in the first year where funds allow ○ The funding allocation will be reviewed annually as we receive the condition data 			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
7.	Priority One Schemes				
	CATG agreed that once work orders have been placed for Priority One schemes a full entry is not required on Action Tracker: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A brief summary will be retained • The entry will be “greyed out” to indicate that it is in progress and no further discussion is required at the CATG meeting • MR will provide updates • The item will be removed once the scheme has been implemented 				
7.1	Completed Priority One Schemes	<p>5348 - Erosion Upper Common east end Parkers Lane Kington Langley</p> <p>5489 – Vehicles driving across green open space Derriads Lane, Chippenham</p> <p>5829 - Vehicles ignoring No Entry and No Right Turn signs</p> <p>5942 - Vehicles misdirected in to The Cloisters by sat nav. causing damage and disturbance</p>	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update		
7.2	<p>3885 Plough Lane, Kington Langley</p> <p>Extend kerbing Request to extend the kerbing that starts at the school and finishes at the Chapel - extend to the entrance of the Stables in Plough Lane, Kington Langley</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 13th March 2017, Chippenham Area Board agreed recommendations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Indicative costs £17,000 noted ○ To allocate £5,000 from CATG funds, conditional upon the contribution of £2,500 from Kington Langley Parish Council • Bid to Substantive Scheme successful. • Programmed for 12th November 2018 for 10 days. Possible temporary road closure. Kerbing type agreed with PC by email dated 15-May-18. (See 5348) • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update. • Residual issue with standing water on C/way due to poor capacity of existing soakaway. Further work to alleviate problem may be required. 	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
7.3	<p>4704 - Pedestrian Crossing Malmesbury Road, Chippenham</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request: <i>Zebra crossings required for access to John Coles park and schools as currently lethal on Wedmore Avenue and Malmesbury Road. These access points are used by secondary school children to access school during rush hour when cars are rushing. Also used by people accessing the park both are on blind bends and are lethal it is too dangerous making the park sometimes inaccessible I often see parents crossing the road one child at a time this is a lovely facility needing to be more accessible by foot.</i> Town Council considered on 3-Aug-17: Recommend that a pedestrian survey is carried out and agrees a contribution of £200 (25% of the cost advised) 2-Oct-17 Telecon with requestor to establish which crossing was felt to be the highest priority. Requestor stated that both were important and she would like both surveyed. CATG considered requestors comments. It was agreed that one pedestrian survey should take place on Malmesbury Road; the CATG agreed that less pedestrians cross Wedmore Avenue 6-Nov-17 Chippenham Area Board agreed recommendation to designate as Priority One and funding allocation of £600, for a pedestrian survey assessment to be carried out on Malmesbury Road, conditional upon a contribution of £200 from Town Council. MR advises that formal quotes have come back with the cheapest at £1500. This issue is subject to an online petition https://www.change.org/p/wiltshire-council-add-safety-measures-to-dangerous-chippenham-crossing 19-Mar-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed recommendation and allocated an increased contribution of £1,125 towards the pedestrian survey conditional upon an increased contribution of £375 from Chippenham Town Council. 	<p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>MR</p>

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 19-Apr-18 Chippenham Town Council agreed to contribute an additional £175 towards this project (total contribution £375). • Survey results: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Average speed 36 mph both directions ○ Zone C 367 per day at crossing point • CATG was asked to consider issues 4704 and 5753 at the same time as the requests are similar. MR advised the group that his workload would only allow him to produce one scheme/design of this type in 2018/19. • CATG noted the survey results for both issues, acknowledged the concerns for each and concluded that 4704 Malmesbury Road should be prioritised due to a higher number of movements at that location. Issue 5753 will remain on the list of requests and will be reconsidered in 2019/20. • 23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed CATG recommendation to prioritise this location and instruct Principal Highways Engineer to proceed with the pedestrian assessment for this scheme. • MR advised that full pedestrian assessment will take place in early 2019. If the recommendation is for a formal crossing, this would need to be submitted to Substantive Scheme for funding as estimated cost is approximately £70,000. • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 22-Jan-19 MR confirms assessment remains outstanding and will be undertaken when time permits 			
7.4	5948 - Vehicles misdirected by sat	Request from Langley Burrell Parish Council: <i>“For street name signs to be erected on the junction of The Common and the B4069”</i>	CATG recommendation –	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
	nav. The Common, Langley Burrell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MR advised cost approximately £250 per sign Advice required from Land charges re. road name. Records show 'Maud Heaths Causeway' but residential addresses indicate 'The Common' 2 street nameplates required. Approx. £500 total 23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed CATG recommendation to elevate to Priority One and allocate £375 funding, conditional upon a contribution of £125 from Langley Burrell Parish Council Langley Burrell Parish Council to consider 20-Sep-18 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update 21-Sep-18 Langley Burrell Parish Council confirm support for this project and will contribute £125 22-Jan-19 confirms work order placed. Awaiting installation. <p>https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Langley Burrell</p>	Chippenham Area Board to note the update		
7.5	Dropped kerbs: 6625 Ivy Road and Ivy Lane/Bridge Centre roundabout Chippenham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 22-Jan-19 MR advised that all dropped kerbs have been completed with the exception of Ivy Road. Cost for this request has increased to £3117.49 due to Traffic Management requirements. CATG agreed that in the absence of any other budget, the only option to enable this request to proceed is invite the Town Council to fund the shortfall. JS will raise this with the Town Council. 	<p>Town Council to consider whether they will fund the additional cost for this scheme</p> <p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update</p>	1	JS
7.6	5734 – Emergency vehicles unable to	Request: <i>Yellow hatch lines to at least half of the layby</i>	CATG recommendation –	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
	park at front of Croft Court Residential Care Home, Chippenham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MR advises approx. costs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Legal Order required £2,500 ○ Hatch markings £500 • Costs can be reduced considerably by considering this request and issue 6063 at the same time and if supported, the legal order could cover both schemes • 8-Mar-18 this request was considered by Chippenham Town Council. The Town Council <u>does not support</u> this request as Councillors were of the opinion that it was not a priority for the area. • AO asked MD to brief CATG in his absence. AO intends to request Chippenham Town Council PET Committee reviews this issue. The Manager at Croft Court has reported that ambulances are regularly called to the home to attend the older residents; the majority of the time the emergency vehicles are obliged to park some way down the street because the layby is occupied by vehicles belonging to visitors or local residents from nearby properties. • Clearly this impacts upon paramedic's response times and it is not uncommon for the patients to be wheeled some distance down the pavement to the ambulance; this is not only uncomfortable (sometimes cold and/or wet) it can be unnecessarily distressing and does little to preserve the dignity of the older person. • Reasons for review: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A similar request from nursery school for drop-off zone to accommodate parents dropping off young children at a nursery school (6063) has been supported • Supporting the request from Croft Court would afford older people the same consideration as the parents and children, at what is a most distressing time, when they have been taken ill or sustained an injury and need to get to hospital • The cost to implement the nursery drop off is £3,000 • The cost to implement both schemes is £3,500 – just £500 additional cost • 9-Aug-18 Reconsidered by Chippenham Town Council. Town Councillors agreed to support it and contribute £125 (25% of £500 cost) 	Chippenham Area Board to note the update		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CATG recommend that 5734 & 6063 are elevated to Priority One and taken together to achieve best value. Funding allocation is £ 2,625 conditional upon a contribution of £875 from Chippenham Town Council. • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendation • 22-Jan-19 MR confirms documents issued to regulatory team. Awaiting formal advert and implementation. <p>https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Croft court</p>			
7.7	<p>6063 – Need for safe drop off zone outside of Little Pips Nursery, Lowden Avenue, Chippenham</p>	<p>Request: <i>A restricted parking zone or drop off zone outside the nursery along Lowden Avenue and Goldney Avenue to enable our parents to drop off safely and easily.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3-Feb-18 Referred to Chippenham Town Council for comment • MR advises approx. costs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Legal Order required £2,500 ○ Restricted/time limited bays £500 ○ Costs can be reduced considerably by considering this request and issue number 5734 at the same time if supported, the legal order could cover both schemes • 14-Mar-18 Chippenham Town Council confirms support for the Highway Officers recommendation for a time limited bay to be installed and agree a contribution of £750 towards the cost. However, there were some concerns raised regarding future enforcement. Councillors suggested this request could possibly be combined with a future request to reduce the cost. • CATG noted the Town Council comments regarding combining with another scheme to reduce costs. • The cost to implement the nursery drop off is £3,000. The cost to implement together with issue 5734 is £3,500 - just £500 additional cost • 9-Aug-18 Town Council confirmed support for 5734 Croft Court 	<p>Awaiting formal advert and implementation</p> <p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update</p>	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CATG recommend that 5734 & 6063 are elevated to Priority One and taken together to achieve best value. Funding allocation is £2,625 conditional upon a contribution of £875 from Chippenham Town Council. 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendation 22-Jan-19 MR confirms documents issued to regulatory team. https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Little Pips Nursery 			
7.8	5825 – Lack of footway Turnpike Cottage to corner of Cuttle Lane Biddestone	<p>Parish Council requests: <i>Road surface prepared to slow vehicles down and a marked pavement/footway from Turnpike Cottage to the end of Cuttle Lane introduced.</i> Further details in a document prepared by the Parish Council.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site meeting held 10th April 2018. Cost estimate £8,000 - £10,000 AW advised that Parish Council is willing contribute more than 25% Biddestone Parish Council confirm 5825 is the top priority CATG recommendation is to elevate to Priority One, allocate £1,050 for topographical survey conditional upon contribution of £350 from Parish Council 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendation 22-Jan-19 Topographical survey received; Parish Council invoiced for their contribution. MR to provide outline plan for Parish Council consideration <p>https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Biddestone</p>	<p>Outlined design work to commence February/March 2019.</p> <p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update</p>	1	MR
7.9	5937 Reduce speed limit on B4039 Kents Bottom near Yatton Keynell	<p>Request from Yatton Keynell Parish Council: <i>“Reduction in speed limit B4039”</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MR has carried out site visit. Site does not meet the requirements of circular 01/13 to extend existing 30mph limit at Yatton Keynell to cover Kents Bottom due to lack of frontage development. Single recorded collision (slight) in 5 years (Driver fell asleep). 	<p>MR to confirm how Parish Council wish to proceed.</p> <p>CATG to consider recommendation to</p>	1	MR


	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 40mph criteria also not strictly met but may be possible, providing min length can be achieved. Local signing improvements may be better option. CATG requested MR discuss options with Parish Council • MR confirmed a site meeting took place 17-Sep-18 with Parish Chairman: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Currently 50mph ○ Doesn't meet criteria for 30mph ○ Potential for signing and lining ○ Not dissimilar to Tiddleywink at the other end of the village therefore 40mph seems reasonable ○ Installation of Hamlet name plate would assist ○ Cost estimate for surveys £2,500 ○ Installation if scheme proceeds cost estimate a further £3,500 • CATG recommendation Priority One, allocate £1,875 conditional upon contribution of £625 Parish Council • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendation • 22-Jan-19 Atkins have completed their assessment. Recommendation is no change to speed limit. Suggested improvements include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Nameplates "Kents Bottom" ○ "Please drive carefully" and "Pedestrian in Road Signs" • NB Pedestrian in road signs to also be installed in Tiddleywink. Approx costs £1,500. Parish contribution £375. • MR to draw up proposals and costings and liaise with Parish Council <p>https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Yatton Keynell</p>	Area Board at next meeting.		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
7.10	6559 - Risk to pedestrians on B4039 in Burton narrow road without footway	<p>Request from Parish Council: <i>“Provision of signs on the roadside to warn drivers Pedestrians in Road.”</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 18-Sep-18 MR advised CATG standard pedestrian in road sign is sensible in these circumstances. Cost approx £500 for sign. CATG agreed recommendation to elevate to Priority One and allocate £375 conditional upon a contribution of £125 from North Wraxall Parish Council 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board agreed the recommendation 22-Jan-19 MR confirms works order placed. Awaiting implementation. <p>Google image</p>	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update	1	MR
7.11	6140 – Request to reduce speed limit to 30mph in Upper Wraxall	<p>Request from North Wraxall Parish Council: <i>Reduce speed limit to 30mph - Speeding cars through Upper Wraxall village from A420. The road through the village has no walkways and pedestrians are at risk from cars leaving the 50mph A420 onto a non-restricted speed road.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The CATG asked the Highways Engineer to contact the Parish Council to discuss the matter in more detail, visit the site if necessary and update the next CATG meeting. 23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update 18-Sep-18 MR advised that he is awaiting a response from Parish Council 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update 22-Jan-19 MR confirms site meeting took place with Parish Clerk Glenys Gill on 18-Dec-18. MR advised that the character of road and environment is not suitable for current de-restriction; suitable for 30 possible 20mph limit. No requirement for formal assessment. CATG recommendation: Priority One, introduce a 20mph limit and allocate £3,000 conditional upon contribution of £1,000 Parish Council. 	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board elevate issue 6140 to Priority One and allocate £3,000 to install a 20mph limit conditional upon a contribution of £1,000 from North Wraxall Parish Council.	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
7.12	6431 – HGV traffic ignoring signs & increased traffic through Kington Langley	<p>Request from Kington Langley Parish Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Incorporate a 7.5t weight limit sign in the vicinity of the A350.</i> • <i>Equestrian warning signs covering a 2-mile distance”</i> • Request for weight limit referred to Sustainable Transport Team for guidance. • Highways Engineer advises: There is a stud farm on Ashes Lane and equestrian activity on nearby Days Lane so warning signs may be considered. The following should be taken into consideration: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Local authorities have been directed by central government to reduce the amount of sign clutter on our roads ○ This is even more important in rural locations where too many signs can detract from the character of the area ○ Should drivers expect to see riders on horseback on a rural single-track road? Will signs make a difference? ○ Wiltshire Council policy is to ask the Requestor to pay the full cost for any non-essential signage. • MR to investigate proposals and obtain cost estimates for consideration at next CATG • MD confirmed Parish Council will pay for the horse signs • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 22-Jan-19 MR advised CATG that the existing signs are in poor repair. He recommends that the existing signs are removed, new signs installed near to junction and angled so that they are visible far earlier. Estimated costs £1,500. • CATG agreed officer recommendation <p>Google Image</p>	<p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board elevate issue 6431 to Priority One and allocate £1,125 to install new signs conditional upon a contribution of £375 from Kington Langley Parish Council.</p>	1	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
7.13	<p>6433 - Lack of signs for Jacksoms Lane, Langley Burrell</p>	<p>Request from resident:</p> <p><i>“A sign erected part way down the A350 between the Kington Langley traffic lights and Jacksoms Lane indicating that there is a turning there. Also, a reflective sign at the entrance to the lane.”</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 16-Jul-18 - Referred to Kington Langley Parish Council • 13-Aug-18 considered by Kington Langley Parish Council. Parish Council confirm support providing Langley Burrell is also approached for support and consequently will share the Parish Council costs as part of Jacksoms Lane is in Langley Burrell • 14-Aug-18 – referred to Langley Burrell Parish Council • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 21-Sep-18 Langley Burrell Parish Council response: <p><i>I can advise you that the Council, while supportive of the provision of signage and consider to be a priority for Highway Safety, could not agree that financial support should be given.</i></p> <p><i>The reasons being that it is unreasonable for the Council and Kington Langley Parish Council to be asked to pay for the erection of advance warning and directional signage on the A350, particularly when the road only in recent times has been upgraded because of its importance and it should have been part of the highways design requirements for the signage to be included, as it should be now as clearly there is a danger for all users of the heavily used trunk road.</i></p> <p><i>In addition to this objection in principle the Council felt it was unwise to agree to what was an open ended financial commitment as there was no indication of what the shared cost would be. I am sorry to have to pass on this unwelcome news but the bottom line really is that local requests that could be considered as added helpful additions to standard signage might be worthy of support but signage that should already be part of the highway network and financed/provided by transport authorities should not be supported from very small Parish Budgets.</i></p>	<p>DM to discuss with Langley Burrell Parish Council. If contribution is agreed:</p> <p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board elevate issue 6433 to Priority One and allocate £525 to install new signs conditional upon a contribution of £87.50 from Kington Langley Parish Council and a contribution of £87.50 from Langley Burrell Parish Council</p>		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11-Oct-18 Further message received from Issue Correspondent commented upon the latest update: <i>I am appalled and disappointed that this issue has not been resolved. This is a very serious accident just waiting to happen! Please just drive down this section of the A350 and on approaching the Jacksoms Lane turning take note of the number of tyre marks on the road due to last minute braking. Today the car behind me had to swerve last minute into the outside lane to overtake me, even though I had been indicating left for a considerable time. Fortunately, the outside lane was clear, but if there had been a vehicle there, it would have resulted in a multiple crash. Surely peoples' lives cannot be measured by the meagre amount of money it would cost to put up a road sign! There is a speed sign of 50mph which very few people take notice of. There is also a sign about a cycle track and even a pole with no sign on it at all. Perhaps, God forbid, when there is a fatal accident on this stretch of road the powers that be will do something positive. Sadly, that will be too late for some.</i> • MR advises: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ There were 2 junction warning signs on the southbound approach to Jacksoms Lane which pre-dated Phase 1 of the A350 works (see google image) ○ It would appear that it was removed and not replaced in an effort to remove excessive signage ○ Highways Officers are of the opinion that a single replacement warning sign would be a better option than a flag type sign with the legend 'Jacksoms Lane' ○ Costs will depend on the contractors traffic management requirements to install the sign: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ £800 ▪ £2500 if a nearside lane closure is required ▪ The cost is also higher than a standard size due to its large size and the possible requirement for a passively safe post • 6-Dec-18 Langley Burrell Parish Council invited to review their decision and to send a representative to the CATG meeting on 15th January 2019. 			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 22-Jan-19 MR confirms the option of providing simplified warning sign on A350 approach. Estimated cost £700 <div style="text-align: center;">  </div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • David Mannering from Langley Parish Council representative in attendance confirmed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Parish Council does not wish to commit to undetermined amount of money 2) Parish Council believes the sign should be paid for from a national budget, • MD confirmed that Kington Langley Parish Council will contribute 12.5% of the cost but that the scheme will only progress if Langley Burrell Parish Council also contribute 12.5% of the cost. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ £700 cost ○ 25% = £175 - £87.50 per parish • DM to return to Parish Council and ask whether they will support £87.50 <p>Google Image</p>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
8.	Priority Two/Pending Schemes				
8.1	5660 - Review of traffic management measures Market Cross Castle Combe	<p>Requested by Castle Combe Parish Council: <i>Review of possible traffic engineering measures in the location of the Market Cross to examine potential to reduce risk of collisions. Prior discussions have been held with Highways Bill Parks who has recommended that CATG would be the appropriate initial avenue.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CATG agreed site visit and liaison with the Parish Council required. Parish Council confirms on hold any further action due to budget constraints • 18-Sep-18 – remains on hold • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 22-Jan-19 – remains on hold 	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update	2	MR
8.2	5753 - Replace informal crossing points with zebra crossings Queens Crescent, Chippenham	<p>Division Councillor requests: <i>I would like to see a study performed to see whether 2 x Zebra crossing would be appropriate for Queens Crescent. Can we perform the study during peak school time children crossing?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considered by Town Council 25-Jan-18 - Town Council supports this request for an up to date survey and agrees to contribute £250 towards the cost (25%). If possible, the survey should also monitor speeds. • CATG noted petition had been received. • CATG noted that Queens Crescent School has updated its travel plan but did not mention any problems with crossings • 19-Mar-18 Chippenham Area Board allocated £600 from CATG funds conditional upon a contribution of £200 from Town Council. • The 2018 survey focused on the existing demarcated crossing points, whereas the 2011 feasibility study count covered a much wider area with a larger number of zones. To provide a worthwhile comparison between the counts I've only 	<p>DW to note that CATG request the resurfacing of Queens Crescent is a priority.</p> <p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update</p>	2	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<p>extracted the relevant zone data from the 2011 study and the results are shown below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 2018 data in red 2011 data in green <p>SITE 1 Queens Crescent (adjacent to Carnarvon Close)</p> <p>306 (322) 1000 vehicles per day approx</p> <p>SITE 2 Queens Crescent (Adjacent to Minster Way)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (254) 3000 vehicles per day approx • CATG also noted that a 20mph limit has been installed as part of the travel plan and agreed that the impact of this should be monitored • AO asked MD to brief CATG in his absence. The main concern is the ambiguity/potential confusion for pedestrian and drivers about the appropriate way to use the advisory crossings. MR confirmed that in his professional opinion, the advisory crossing on the Minster Way side of Queens Crescent should be considered a higher priority than the advisory crossing on the Carnarvon Close side of Queens Crescent. • CATG was asked to consider issues 4704 and 5753 at the same time as the requests are similar. MR advised the group that his workload would only allow him to produce one scheme/design of this type in 2018/19. • CATG noted the survey results for both issues, acknowledged the concerns for each and concluded that 4704 Malmesbury Road should be prioritised due to a higher number of movements at that location. Issue 5753 will remain on the list of requests and will be reconsidered in 2019/20. • DW advised that Queens Crescent will be resurfaced (date to be confirmed) at which point consideration could be given to removing the informal crossing at no additional cost. • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 22-Jan-19 CATG ask that DW prioritising the resurfacing of Queens Crescent. <p>https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Queens Crescent</p>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
8.3	5826 - Lack of footway Biddestone Arms to the Village Green, Biddestone	<p>Parish Council requests: <i>Installation of a marked footway</i></p> <p>Further details available in a document prepared by the Parish Council</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site meeting held 1^{0th} April 2018. • MR advised that we could consider batching 5825 & 5826 and submitting to substantive bid in 2019/20. • Cost Estimate £30,000. NB a topographical survey will be required and combining the two requests would mean that implementation will take considerably longer. • AW to confirm with Parish Council: • Which request is the highest priority 5825 or 5826? Or • Does the Parish Council wish for 5825 & 5826 to be “batched together”? • Level of contribution the Parish Council is prepared to commit • 23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board noted the update • 18-Sep-18 Biddestone Parish Council confirm 5825 is top priority – 5826 on hold • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 22-Jan-19 remains on hold but to be revisited at the next CATG meeting as this is identified as a candidate for the Substantive Scheme. <p>https://www.google.co.uk/maps/Biddestone Arms</p>	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update	2	AW
8.4	5827 – Installation of four sets of White Gates, Biddestone	<p>Parish Council requests: <i>Installation of white gates:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 pair from A420 into village • 1 pair from Corsham into village • 1 pair Hartham into village • 1 pair from Giddea Hall into village <p><i>To slow down traffic.</i> Details available in a document prepared by the Parish Council</p>		2	AW

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost of gate approx. £1000 each installed • Site meeting held 10th April 2018. On hold pending completion of priorities • 18-Sep-18 Biddestone Parish Council confirm top priority is 5825 is top 5826 on hold • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 22-Jan-19 remains on hold 			
8.5	<p>6058 – Reduction of speed limit to 20mph throughout Castle Combe village</p>	<p>Request from Castle Combe Parish Council:</p> <p><i>“Formal investigation into the feasibility and costs of implementing a revised speed limit together with requisite signage”</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MR has arranged for Metro Counts to be carried out in the village. • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 22-Jan-19 MR confirms Metro Count results as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <u>South of Water Lane</u> Mean = 16.6mph 85th %ile = 22.54mph ○ <u>South of School Lane</u> Mean = 22.3mph 85th %ile =28.19mph ○ <u>The Street North of West St.</u> Mean = 20.1mph 85th %ile = 25.67 • CATG considered results and agreed that MR should liaise with Parish Council about how they wish to proceed in light of the results. 	<p>MR to liaise with Parish Council to establish how they wish to proceed.</p> <p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update</p>	2	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
8.6	6119 - Speed of vehicles on Sadlers Mead, Chippenham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request is from Chippenham Town Councillor for traffic calming measures 6-Mar-18 Following discussions with Highways Officer when the Town Councillor was briefed about previous requests relating to the parking arrangements in this location, the Town Councillor has indicated that he may withdraw this request and instead pursue a review of the waiting restrictions on Sadlers Mead. 1-Jun-18 Correspondent confirms that following consultation with residents in Sadlers Mead, he wishes to proceed with this request for traffic calming. 28-Jun-18 Town Council considered this request and provided the following comments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Councillor Nick Murry described how he'd had extensive conversations with Wiltshire Council Highways officers on the issue, as well as writing to the police, but the speed recordings didn't qualify for community speed watch or for consideration by CATG.</i> <i>Councillor Murry will look at how traffic measures resulting from planned developments near the Olympiad might help improve the situation and also proposed that the Committee write to the Principal Traffic Engineer at Wiltshire Council to enquire about any possible signage that could help.</i> <i>The Committee was also asked for its advice on dealing with similar situations in other areas</i> 18-Sep-18 MR suggested that informal posters requesting vehicles slow down (previously used for a road safety campaign in Wood Lane) could be temporarily displayed for a short period. 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update 22-Jan-19 CATG agreed that MR should establish whether the posters are available and liaise with Councillor Murry to establish whether he wishes to proceed with this option. 	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update	2	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
8.7	6144 – Speed reduction to 20mph for High Street in Chippenham	<p>Request made by Chippenham Town Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Following safety concerns regarding market traders setting up and backing into moving vehicles and pedestrians presuming that as the market is being set up the High Street is closed to traffic Chippenham Town Councils Planning Environment Transport Committee agreed to apply to Wiltshire Council for a temporary road closure of the High Street to all vehicle traffic <p>This application was approved but revoked by the Town Council at week 7 of the 12-week closure following a review of the overall position and feedback from residents and businesses.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The High Street currently closes to traffic in accordance with Traffic Orders between 9.30am and 7.30pm Monday to Sunday with the exception of a Saturday i.e. to coincide with the setting-up of the market when it closes from 6.30am. • Due to these safety concerns affecting all High Street visitors market traders and pedestrians a temporary trial closure of the High Street on a Friday from 6.30am was pursued thereby aligning the closure time to that of the Saturday market. • Residents and businesses expressed a number of concerns regarding the temporary road closure offering suggestions on how the safety of all High Street users might be ensured and how the area might best be managed. • Due to the problems associated with the temporary closure of the High Street the Town Council revoked the temporary road closure and a High Street Working Party was set up to look at alternative ways to ensure the High Street is a safe place to visit whilst it is open to traffic. These included re-aligning the market moving it away from the High Street installing traffic calming measures as well as the introduction of a 20mph speed limit as introduced in other high-risk areas such as Redlands. The Town Council would like to apply for the High Street speed limit to be reduced to 20mph for the reasons given above. • Highways Officer advises that if considered a priority for the area by the CATG: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ An assessment will be required cost approx. £2,500. 	<p>MR to liaise with Chippenham Town Council</p> <p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update</p>	2	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If the outcome recommends the introduction of the lower limit the typical cost is £3500 - £5000 depending on the size. This includes legal costs. If electrical works required, cost may rise to £8000 • MR to arrange for SDR to establish statistics • 18-Sep-18 MR confirms he will provide data/results from SDR at the next CATG meeting and will also recommend where the 20mph limit might be installed • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 22-Jan-19 MR confirms that it was not possible to erect SDR device due to restricted mountings. Overall speed is low and is covered by access restriction for 0930 -1930. 20mph limit is feasible but would only be in force for limited period of day. • CATG noted that Chippenham High Street is due to be resurfaced in the near future. • CATG asked MR to liaise with Town Council to establish more specific proposals e.g.: confirm desired start and end point for lower speed limit and estimate costs. 			
8.8	6288 – Speed of vehicles on Marshfield Road, Chippenham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completed Metro Count request form sent to Road Safety Unit 1-Jun-18 • 23-Jul-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 18-Sep-18 MR advised metro count results are awaited • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 22-Jan-19 MR confirms Metro Count results remain outstanding 	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update	2	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
8.9	6324 - Lack of passing places on Long Dean Lane near Yatton Keynell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Referred to Yatton Keynell Parish Council for comment 1-Jun-18 Parish Council considered 5-Jun-18 <i>“Unanimously agreed to support a local resident’s request to investigate whether it is possible to add more passing points.”</i> MR to visit site to assess and contact Parish Council to discuss options 18-Sep-18 MR confirms he carried out site visit on 12th September. There are some “informal passing bays” along the lane CATG would like clear indication of optimum location and number of bays required. MR asked to coordinate a site meeting and advise next CATG meeting. 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update 22-Jan-19 MR confirms site meeting held with requester 10-Dec-18. 7 locations identified although several not possible due to restricted verge. Cost likely to be prohibitive. CATG informed that another resident is concerned that the installation of passing places may encourage more people to use the lane and does not therefore support it. CATG considered all of the information and comments provided; CATG agreed that this request is not a priority for the community area at this time. Community Issue to be closed. <p>Google Image</p>	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board does not prioritise this request or allocate funding at this time.	2	MR
8.10	6483 - Width or weight restriction needed on Biddestone Lane, Yatton Keynell	<p>Request from Yatton Keynell Parish Council: <i>“Width Weight restriction placed on Biddestone Lane”</i></p> <p>12-Jul-18 Referred to Sustainable Transport Team (SD) for guidance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update 22-Jan-19 see item 5. 	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update SD to provide guidance		SD

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
8.11	6495 - Speed of vehicles on Eastern Avenue, Chippenham	<p>Request from Division Councillor:</p> <p><i>“Check the speed here e.g. using a metro count device. Put in place appropriate measures to deter speeders e.g. look at current parking restrictions signage etc.”</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 16-Jul-18 Metro Count Request Form issued for completion and return • 18-Sep-18 Second Metro Count Request form issued for completion and return • 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update • 22-Jan-19 completed Metro Count form not received back from requester 	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update		
8.12	6509 - Lack of signage Tor Hill Crossroads, Kington St Michael, Kington Langley	<p>Request from resident:</p> <p><i>“Signage to draw attention to the danger of traveling at 50 mph toward this junction is not appropriate”</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20-Jul-17 Referred to Kington Langley Parish Council for comment. • 27-Jul-17 Referred to Kington St Michael (KSM) Parish Council for comment • 17-Sep-18 Kington St Michael Parish Clerk confirms Parish Council support this request but have the following comments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>“Wiltshire Council should have done this years ago and now just want money on what they should be doing anyway if it’s a highway danger”</i> ○ <i>“How about asking the adjacent PCs who should also assist, being Chippenham Without and Kington Langley?”</i> • 18-Sep-18 MR advised that this location is not on the cluster site list, but there have been some collisions. • CATG agreed: 	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update		VV

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ KSM Parish Council should approach Chippenham Without Parish Council and Kington Langley Parish Council with a proposal to address the concerns of the member of the public ○ CATG will be pleased to receive feedback from Kington St Michael at the next CATG meeting ● 8-Oct-18 Chippenham Area Board noted update ● 22-Jan-19 MR to discuss with Mark Stansby to establish whether there are any plans for signs. MR to then liaise with KSM Parish to consider next steps 			
8.13	6789 - Lack of visibility on Fosse Way near Nettleton	<p>Request from Parish Council: NPC would like to see the following:</p> <p><i>1. Installation of a physical measure such as Rumble Strips on the approaches to alert drivers to the need to slow down. Signs alone do not seem to be sufficient:</i></p> <p><i>2. Regular cutting back of the hedges on the approaches to the bends in the road to improve visibility and light. Subject to landowners and/or tenants agreement removal of a hedge and replacement with a fence on the South-East side of the road leading up from the bridge over Broadmead Brook or if this is not agreed then regular cutting of this hedge.</i></p> <p><i>3. Further advanced signage to warn drivers of the need to go Dead Slow. Any speed above 10 to 15mph is too great to avoid a collision at the blind corners.</i></p> <p>22-Jan-19 MR advises that this issue requires a site meeting/informal assessment before it can be discussed at a Community Area Transport Group (CATG). Highways Engineer to contact Parish Council to arrange this.</p>	<p>MR to arrange site visit with Parish Council</p> <p>CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update</p>	2	MR

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
9	New Requests – entries shaded red are awaiting replies/further information – no discussion by CATG at this meeting				
9.1	6582 - Noise and traffic pollution A420 Bristol Road near Folly Crossroads, Chippenham	Request received from local resident: <i>Reduced speed limit to maximum of 30mph speed monitor camera reminders to slow down. Very concerned about impact of increased traffic as a result of new supermarket especially trucks divert trucks away from Bristol Road.</i> Referred to Chippenham Town Council for comments 16-Jan-19.	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update		
9.2	6719 – Speeding Sheldon Road, Chippenham	Request received from Wiltshire Councillor: <i>Metro Count to monitor speed of vehicles on Sheldon Road</i> Metro Count Request form sent to Road Safety Team 12-Jan-19	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update		
9.3	6733 – Lack of Dropped Kerb roundabout near Morrisons, Chippenham	Request from member of the public: <i>“Customer is reporting that there is no dropped kerb access for pedestrians particularly when using wheelchair at roundabout by Morrisons on A350.”</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See item 4. Requests for dropped kerbs are considered annually in June, subject to sufficient budget being available. This request will be added to the list of requests for dropped kerbs in the Chippenham Community Area (June 2019). • MR will coordinate requests • CATG request that the location is specified and a photograph provided if possible 	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
9.4	<p>6753 – Speed of vehicles Park Avenue, Chippenham</p>	<p>Member of public requests:</p> <p><i>“I am told that the new estate previously Westinghouse Sports Ground will be a 20 mph Zone with traffic calming. Park Avenue needs to become a 20mph Zone with traffic calming measures as cars regularly speed toward the Bristol Road Junction. Park Avenue requires better 20 Zone signage as the mini 20 repeater signs are not adhered to or visible to speeding vehicles also better road markings with 20 mph. There was a recommendation at the time of the planning application that white lines should run from Bristol Road/Park Avenue junction to a point extending around the first bend in Park Avenue. This has never happened. Next most residents in Park Avenue who drive have made a point that Double Yellow Lines should be placed on the Bristol Road/Park Avenue junction to help with visibility on the junction. However, speaking personally, I can see a time when Traffic Light Controlled Crossing for smooth flow of traffic and a crossing for pedestrian safety will be needed at this junction Similar to that of Queens Crescent. Next there needs to be a Give Way or Stop sign at the end of the new estate into Park Avenue as there have been multiple Near Miss Incidents. Next there should be Raised Block Paving areas in Park Avenue to prevent speeding as will be the case in the new estate. Next the lighting scheme in Park Avenue requires updating to reflect its status as an entry to a new estate. Finally, Park Avenue requires a much-needed makeover with new kerb stones pathways and carriageway resurfacing to reflect that it is an entrance to a new estate. Park Avenue has not been given any such consideration since 1985.”</i></p> <p>14-Nov-18 Metro Count Request form sent to issue correspondent for completion and return before referring to Chippenham Town Council.</p>			
9.5	<p>6754 – Speed of vehicles Bristol Road, Chippenham</p>	<p>Member of the public requests:</p> <p><i>“The speed limit between Bristol Road Chippenham Sports Club and Bristol Road Folly Roundabout is set at 40mph. However there have been numerous accidents between these two points over the past several years. The latest accident was at Chippenham Sports Club and involved a car and motorbike. Indeed, on a number of occasions I have had to step back from the Toucan crossing at Chippenham Sports Club as vehicles failed to stop on a red light. More recently a vehicle sped up the</i></p>			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		<p><i>Bristol Road at well over 55 to 60mph which is clearly a danger to other vehicles and pedestrians. Generally, vehicles are increasing speed before they reach the 40mph signs at Chippenham Sports Club. These same vehicles then find it hard to brake when the Toucan crossing lights change to red. There is a disparity in speed limits between that of Bristol Road Chippenham Sports Club and Bristol Road Folly Roundabout and Hungerdown Lane and Hardenhuish Lane all of which are 30mph. Due to the amount of vehicle related accidents that have taken place on this stretch of road which includes accidents on or near the Bristol Road/Park Avenue junction it would now seem prudent to reduce the speed limit from 40mph to 30mph between Bristol Road Chippenham Sports Club and Bristol Road Folly Roundabout. As vehicles are often driving in excess of 40mph as they enter Park Avenue there needs to be clearer signage if not more and larger signage to indicate 20mph in Park Avenue. This could be done on road surfacing as well as an increase in signage to indicate 20mph. I have submitted a separate form regarding 20mph Zone for Park Avenue.”</i></p> <p>14-Nov-18 Metro Count Request form sent to issue correspondent for completion and return before referring to Chippenham Town Council.</p>			
9.6	6836 – Speeding Sheldon Road, Chippenham	<p>Request from resident of Sheldon Road: <i>“Speed rumble strips as first priority followed by long term solution to make it safer for all traffic calming or speed restriction changed to 20mph.”</i></p> <p>Metro Count Request form sent to requester 12-Jan-19</p>	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update		
9.7	6846 - Road Safety at The Street B4039 Nettleton Road and Church Hill junction Burton	<p>Request received from a member Burton Community Association: <i>A review is undertaken to identify solutions to improve the road safety around this junction. Nettleton Parish Council are currently undertaking an investigation of road safety issues in two areas in the Parish and I would ask they include this issue as part of the overall investigation.</i></p> <p>18-Dec-18 Referred to Nettleton Parish Council for comment.</p>	CATG recommendation – Chippenham Area Board to note the update		

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
		22-Jan-19 MR advises that this issue requires a site meeting/informal assessment before it can be discussed at a Community Area Transport Group (CATG) meeting. Highways Engineer to contact Parish Council to arrange this.			
9.8	6891 - Erosion of grass verges in both Upper and Lower Stanton St Quintin	Stanton St Quintin Parish Council request: <i>"The Parish Council would like support from Wiltshire Council and would like to request funding to put small posts along the edges of the verge to prevent people parking and driving over them"</i> 22-Jan-19 Parish requested to send specific location to MR			
9.9	6941 - Speeding Ladyfield Road Chippenham	Request received from Wiltshire Councillor: <i>Metro Count to monitor speed of vehicles on Ladyfield Road</i> Metro Count Request form sent to Road Safety Team 12-Jan-19			
9.10	6942 – Speeding Hungerdown Lane Chippenham	Request received from Wiltshire Councillor: <i>Metro Count to monitor speed of vehicles on Hungerdown Lane On Hungerdown Lane between Southmead and Ladyfield Road Chippenham @ Latitude 51.455377 Longitude -2.138076</i> Metro Count Request form sent to Road Safety Team 12-Jan-19			
9.11	6943 – Speeding Queens Crescent Chippenham	Request received from Wiltshire Councillor: <i>Metro Count to monitor speed of vehicles on Queens Crescent</i> Metro Count Request form sent to Road Safety Team 12-Jan-19			
9.12	6944 – Speeding Woodlands Road Chippenham	Request received from Wiltshire Councillor: <i>Metro Count to monitor speed of vehicles on Woodlands Road Woodlands Road Chippenham @ Latitude 51.4630193 Longitude -2.1279882</i> Metro Count Request form sent to Road Safety Team 12-Jan-19			

	Item	Update	Actions & Recommendations	Priority 1 – High 2 - Low	Who
10	AOB				
10.1	Pavement & Footway exercise	LP asked if Pavement & Footway Improvements Exercise would be repeated,. MR advised not at present, but it may be in the future if funding becomes available LP asked what constituted a “dangerous footway”? MR confirmed that CC is best placed to confirm the criteria.	LP to contact CC	N/A	LP
10.2	Parking issues in Grittleton	Owners of Grittleton House have erected signs “No Parking” are they legal?	LP will send MR photos so that he can investigate.	N/A	LP
<p>Date of next meeting 25th June 2019 10:00 – 12:00 Committee Rooms B & C, Monkton Park Offices, Chippenham</p>					

Highways Officer – Martin Rose

1. Environmental & Community Implications

- 1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

- 2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Chippenham Area Board.
2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Chippenham Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£ -540.94 (overspend)**

3. Legal Implications

- 3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

- 4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

- 5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications – none

APPENDIX 1

Chippenham CATG

14th January 2019

Budget 2018 -19

£18,087.00 CATG Allocation 2018-19
 £7,626.16 2017 -18 underspend

Contributions

£0.00 Chipp without PC Fowlswick xroads (invoice issued for £498 in 17/18.) Final
 £629.25 Hullavington PC - London House
 £500.00 Kington Langley PC - Erosion Upper Common
 £375.00 Chippenham TC - Malmesbury Road Ped survey
 £1,800.00 Dropped kerbs Phase 4 Chippenham TC
 £70.75 Chippenham TC - St marys Street nameplate
 £130.93 Chippenham TC - Wessex Close Street nameplate
 £98.75 Chippeham TC bollards Derriads Lane
 £200.00 Chippenham TC Queens Cresecent Ped count
 £95.49 Nettleton PC - Burton Hill peds in road signs
 £687.50 Yatton Keynell PC Kents Bottom SL assessment
 £49.70 Chippenham TC Cloisters No through road sign
 £350.00 Biddestone PC Turnpike Cottage topo
 £224.00 Hill Hayes Lane, Hullavington - Hidden dip signs Full recharge
 £4,500.00 Chippenham TC Additional dropped kerb sites
 £125.00 Langley Burrell PC - Street Nameplates.

Total Budget 2018-19

£35,549.52

Scheme Commitments carried forward from 17/18

Blackthorn Mews - Pedestrian improvements £2,200.00 Contribution to Substantive scheme £2800 of contribution spent in 2017/18
 Kington Langley The Common - Kerbing / Drainage works £517.00 Contribution to Sustantive scheme
 London House Hullavington - Kerbing and signs £2,517.00 Final
 Erosion Upper Common East End Kington Langley £2,500.00 Final
 Malmesbury Road pedestrian Survey £1,500.00 Final
 St Mary's Street Street Nameplate £283.01 Final

New Schemes 18/19

Dropped Kerbs Phase 4 £4,500.00 Agreed sites 2. Avonmead/Downham. 3. Phillips Close/Chamberlain Rd. Ivy Lane on hold
 Wessex Road (leading to Wessex CL & Saxon St) Street nameplates £523.70 Final
 Bollards End of Derriads Lane £395.00 Cost £395.00 Bollards from existing stock TBA
 Ped count Queens Cresecent £800.00 Final
 Cloisters No through Road sign £198.80
 Burton Hill 'Peds in Road' signs £381.95 Estimate
 B4039 Kents Bottom Speed limit assessment £2,750.00 Final
 Croft Court Ambulance Bay / Little Pips Nursery parkng bays £3,500.00 Combine TRO work
 Malmesbury Road Pedestrian Assessment £0.00 Assessment 2019
 Turnpike Cottage Biddestone Topo survey + Design £1,400.00 Final
 The Common Langley Burrell Streetnameplates £500.00 PC
 Hill Hayes Lane, Hullavington - Hidden dip signs £224.00 Full recharge to requester.
 Rowden Lane Road markings £300.00 Ad-hoc works
 Ad hoc road markings £825.00 Hullavington bus stop, Chippenham H bars , Fosco, Prestgrove
 Additional Dropped kerbs Whittle Close, Awdry Close, Bruges Close £4,500.00 Additional sites agreed paid for by Chippenham TC

Current Commitment 2018-19 £30,315.46

Remaining Budget 2018 -19 £5,234.06

Completed schemes